

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,326	10/22/2003	Dean Foote	LAMA121883	8551
26389	7590 07/18/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			PATEL, VISHAL A	
1420 FIFTH A	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800			ARTONII	TATERNOMBER
SEATTLE, V	VA 98101-2347		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/692,326	FOOTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vishal Patel	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 This action is FINAL. 2b) □ To 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed an is/are objected.	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	a by the Eversines	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.7	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "the configuration of the second circumferential seal relative to the first circumferential seal prevents a total seal loss of the first circumferential seal and prevents well fluids from flowing past the first circumferential seal in the event of a blow out of the first circumferential seal" is not described in the specification. Furthermore as stated in the specification the second seal is a redundant seal and the first seal experiences a seal loss for the second seal to provide a seal function to a second seal side.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3673

It is unclear how a seal assembly has a well, well fluids and a blow preventer.

Furthermore as stated in the advisory office action the claims is only directed to a seal assembly having plurality of seal that are capable of contacting a shaft having two distinct travel area. The well fluids, well and the blow preventer are considered to be intended use limitations and are given little patentable weight in an apparatus claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peil et al (US. 4,877,217).

Peil discloses a seal assembly for a reciprocating shaft comprising a body having a bore (bore 22), a shaft (shaft 26) that moves reciprocally within the body between an extended position from the body and a retracted position within the body (shaft 26 reciprocates), at least one first circumferential seal (seal 30 seals the shaft) positioned in the body and circumscribing the shaft, the first circumferential seal performing a seal function of preventing fluids from migrating along the shaft from a first region of the body to a second region of the body positioned immediately adjacent to the first region, the shaft having a first seal travel area (seal area that is contacted by first seal 30) which is in contact with the first seal during axial reciprocating movement of the shaft (portion that contacts as seen in figure 3), at least a portion of the first seal travel area extending from the body where it is exposed to contaminants when the

Art Unit: 3673

shaft is in the extended position (intended use), at least one second circumferential seal (seal 32) positioned in the body and circumscribing the shaft in axially spaced relation to the first circumferential seal (seal 30), the second circumferential seal performing the same sealing function as the first circumferential seal (the seal 32 seals the shaft), and serving as a redundant back up seal until the first circumferential seal experiences seal failure (intended use when the first seal fails, but the seal 32 is a redundant seal for preventing fluid from entering the second region), the shaft having a second seal travel area (area of the shaft 26 that only contacts seal 32) which is in contact with the second seal during axial reciprocating movement of the shaft the second seal area remaining sheltered within the body even when the shaft is in the extended position (the second seal area is sheltered in the body, see figures 1-3), the first seal travel area and the second seal travel area being axially spaced separate and distinct areas on the shaft (the first seal travel area is distinct from the second seal travel area), such that damage to the exposed portion of the first seal travel area leading to a failure of the at least one first circumferential seal does not lead to failure of the at least one second circumferential seal, as the second circumferential seal engages the second seal travel area which is separate and distinct from the first seal travel area (as seen in figure 2, an outer surface of the shaft 26 between seals 30 and 32 is smaller than the outer surface of the shaft 26 between the second seal 32 and end of 36, see attached figure).

The shaft is ram shaft of a blow out preventer.

Regarding the limitations that the second seal provides a redundant backup seal "which serves no active sealing function" (this is the case since as stated in the reference of Peil that if

Art Unit: 3673

the seal 30 fails leakage is indicated in the port 34 and this teaches that the second seal 32 provides a seal to prevent fluid from going to space 89, column 2, lines 35-42).

The configuration of the second circumferential seal relative to the first circumferential seal prevents a total seal loss of the first circumferential seal and prevents well fluids from flowing past the first circumferential seal in the event of a blow out of the first circumferential seal (this is the case since all the structural limitations are as claimed by the applicant, furthermore a total seal loss of the first circumferential seal is prevented because the second seal is placed in a relative position to the first seal as claimed by the applicant).

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rasmussen (US. 1,709,949).

Rasmussen teaches a seal assembly having a body having a bore (body having shaft 260), a shaft (260) that is a ram shaft of a blow out preventer, a first circumferential seal (seal 85) positioned in the body that contacts a first seal area of the shaft (where the first circumferential seal contacts the shaft 260), a second circumferential seal (either 118 or 55) positioned in the body that contacts a second seal area (where the second circumferential seal contacts the shaft 260) of the shaft that is sheltered in the body and distinct from the first seal area and the second circumferential seal is a redundant seal. The configuration of the second circumferential seal relative to the first circumferential seal prevents a total seal loss of the first circumferential seal and prevents well fluids from flowing past the first circumferential seal in the event of a blow out of the first circumferential seal (this is the case since if the seal 85 fails the seal 55 prevents any fluid from the bottom to flow past the first circumferential seal and the seal 118 prevents any fluid from the top to flow past the first circumferential seal).

Art Unit: 3673

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argument that the limitations that the second seal provides a redundant backup seal is not taught by Peil is not persuasive because Peil teaches this as noted in column 2, lines 35-42. Furthermore as also showed in the reference of Peil that the primary seal is 30 and the secondary seal is 32, in the event the seal 30 is damaged a fluid port for detecting leakage is present, hence the second seal would provide a seal function that is of the primary seal). Applicants' argument that both seals provide active function is not persuasive because only one seal actively seals one fluid and both seals would perform a redundant seal for each of the fluid. The seal 32 act as a primary seal for fluid 89 and 30 as a backup seal for fluid 89. The seal 30 acts as a primary seal for fluid from well and seal 32 acts as a backup seal for fluid from the well.

Furthermore the added limitation ("the...seal") are considered to be intended use limitations and the relative position of the first circumferential seal and the second circumferential seal is taught by the reference of Peil.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP July 11, 2006

Vishal Patel

Primary Examiner Tech. Center 3600